

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 11 through 25 are pending, with Claims 11, 16, and 21 being independent. Claims 1 through 10 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 11, 16, 20, and 21 have been amended.

The drawings were objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(p)(4) on the grounds that reference character “101” has been used to designate both a first camera sever and a second camera server, and the Official Action kindly suggested that one instance be changed to --102--. All objections are respectfully traversed, and are submitted to have been obviated by the filing herewith of one replacement sheet of formal drawings (comprising Figs. 1 and 2) in which the rightmost camera server has been changed from “101” to --102-- in Fig. 1 as kindly suggested.

Claim 20 was objected to and the Official Action kindly suggested that Claim 20 should depend upon Claim --19-- instead of Claim 16, which amendment has been adopted.

Claims 1 through 10 were variously rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 over JP-A 10-136345 (“JP ‘345”) and Official Notice. All rejections are respectfully traversed, and are submitted to have been mooted by the cancellation without prejudice of those claims.

Claims 11 through 25 were variously rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 over U.S. Patent No. 6,741,790 B1 (Burgess), US 2001/0010549 A1 (Miyake), and Official Notice. All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 11, 16, and 21 variously recite, *inter alia*, determining a time point where the moving picture data is to be divided, based on information relating to the camera, and generating a moving picture file from the divided moving picture data.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that none of Burgess, Miyake, and Official Notice, even in the proposed combinations, assuming, *arguendo*, that the documents could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 11, 16, and 21.

The Official Action relies, for example, upon Burgess Fig. 9, which shows icons on a map based on GPS data; however, Applicants respectfully submit that such provides neither a description nor a suggestion of the above-discussed claimed features including dividing as claimed and generating a moving picture file as claimed.

Applicants also respectfully submit that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the above-discussed claimed features. Also, the taking of Official Notice is respectfully traversed in the absence of a cited reference. MPEP 2144.03.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims

discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/
Daniel S. Glueck
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200
DSG/mcm

FCHS_WS 1544574v1